

## EDITORIAL

# A Call for Additional Reviewers

---

We need additional reviewers. Because of the need for rapid communication, we are especially interested in obtaining the services of pharmaceutical scientists who reside in North America.

Those who may be interested in volunteering their services for this task should have appropriate education and experience, such to equip them to critically research manuscripts that are submitted for publication. It is not expected that potential reviewers will be able to review papers on all topics. Thus, it is quite acceptable for potential reviewers to indicate the specific areas in which they feel competent to review. For example, some might wish to receive only papers on the formulation and evaluation of solid drug delivery systems.

We are trying to speed up the review process. It is hoped that, in the future, reviewers will be able to com-

plete their work within 4 weeks. Our standard letter sent to reviewers indicates that should reviewers wish a telephone review, this is acceptable. This procedure has been found to be of particular value if the paper being reviewed is judged to be of very good or very poor quality (see the attached current version of our standard letter to reviewers).

Anyone who is interested in being considered as a reviewer for *Drug Development and Industrial Pharmacy* is requested to send a letter to the Editorial Office and to enclose some biographical data.

C. T. Rhodes  
Editor

Dear

I would be most grateful if you would review the enclosed paper using the data provided below as an outline of our requirements. It would be greatly appreciated if you could return this letter with your comments within four weeks. If this is not possible, please send the paper back to me now. Please return the manuscript with your review. Your help is greatly valued.

Please note that a phone review using our answering machine is perfectly acceptable. This is often appropriate for papers of very good or very poor quality.

Yours sincerely,

Christopher T. Rhodes, Ph.D.  
Editor, *Drug Development and Industrial Pharmacy*

---

DDIP Manuscript # \_\_\_\_\_  
(Please circle your choice of response)

Is the subject appropriate for our journal?	YES	NO
Is the title acceptable?	YES	NO
Is the style/grammar of the paper such that it can be readily understood?	YES	NO
Is the experimental design scientifically acceptable and described in sufficient detail?	YES	NO
Are relevant statistical data reported if such tests are needed?	YES	NO
Is the discussion logical and sound; are the conclusions justified?	YES	NO
Overall, does the paper either advance our knowledge of the theory of pharmaceutical systems or report data of such practical value as to justify publication?	YES	NO
Can the paper be cut in length and, if so, by how many pages (approximately)?	YES	NO
	# pgs. _____	
Overall, how would you grade the paper?	A	B C D E

PLEASE RETURN THE PAPER TO THIS OFFICE

Additional Comments (continue on additional sheets of paper, if necessary):

---



---



---



---



---



---



---



---



---



---